



Planning Commission Meeting Minutes for – November 23, 2016

Chair Laumbattus called the Meeting of November 23, 2016 to order at approximately 6 p.m.

Roll Call

Members Present: Commissioners Laumbattus, Oppel, Moffitt, Mount, Cleland McGrath, and Doll.

Minutes

Meeting minutes from October 26 and November 9, 2016 were approved as written.

Correspondence

The purpose of the public hearing was for staff to receive comments to aid in editing the proposed Title 19. The meeting will be continued to review the final draft.

Old Business

Public Hearing – Draft Unified Development Code, Title 19

Darcy Swetnam, City Attorney, provided a brief introduction to Title 19.

Gene Derig, 1302 K Avenue. Mr. Derig restated comments from previous hearings and does not support the use of a hearings examiner.

Tom Glade, 210 Mansfield Court. Mr. Glade is concerned with the costs of using a hearings examiner.

Carol O'Hearn, 11039 Post Drive. Ms. O'Hearn opposes paying a fee to file an appeal.

Patrick O'Hearn, 11039 Post Drive. Mr. O'Hearn criticized the lack of public notice and verbiage associated with accessory dwelling units. Mr. Glade agreed with Mr. O'Hearn's ADU concerns.

With no further comments, Chair Laumbattus closed the public hearing.

Chair Laumbattus reviewed comment from Tom Allen, who suggested retaining a 300-ft. boundary to appeal. Chair Laumbattus did not support this suggestion, but stated that he understands the City's desire to offset costs of an appeal with a fee. Commissioner Oppel suggested a study to ensure the required fees are not arbitrary and agreed with opening appeal opportunity to the entire City. Commissioner Cleland-McGrath requested clarification on determining fees. Ms. Swetnam stated that fees are based on surrounding jurisdictions. Commissioner Moffitt inquired on the average amount of appeals in a year. Mr. Measamer stated that staff would determine an approximate number. Commissioner Doll questioned fees

for different types of appeals to a hearings examiner. Ms. Swetnam stated that there will still be a fixed cost for certain appeal features.

Commissioner Oppel inquired about the purpose of a neighborhood comment period for building permit. Mr. Measamer clarified that it is actually a binding site plan used to identify lot use for commercial construction. Commissioner Oppel suggested a clear definition.

Chair Laumbattus continued the meeting to November 30, 2016, at approximately 6:45 p.m.